

Quenched Large Deviations for one dimensional Nonlinear Filtering

Étienne Pardoux *

LATP, Univ. de Provence and CNRS
CMI, 39 rue Joliot Curie
13453 Marseille Cedex 13, France
email: pardoux@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

Ofer Zeitouni†

Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Technion
Haifa 32000, Israel
and
Dept. of Mathematics, Vincent Hall
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis 55455, USA
email: zeitouni@math.umn.edu

May 21, 2003

Abstract

Consider the standard, one dimensional, nonlinear filtering problem for diffusion processes observed in small additive white noise: $d\Xi_t = \beta(\Xi_t)dt + \sigma(\Xi_t)dB_t$, $dY_t^\varepsilon = \gamma(\Xi_t)dt + \varepsilon dV_t$, where B, V are standard independent Brownian motions. Denote by $q_1^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ the density of the law of Ξ_1 conditioned on $\sigma(Y_t^\varepsilon : 0 \leq t \leq 1)$. We provide “quenched” large deviation estimates for the random family of measures $q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx$: there exists a continuous, explicit mapping $\bar{\mathcal{J}} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for almost all B, V , $\bar{\mathcal{J}}(\cdot, X_1)$ is a good rate function and for any measurable $G \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$-\inf_{x \in G^o} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx \leq -\inf_{x \in \bar{G}} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1).$$

1 Introduction and statement of results

Consider the one dimensional standard filtering problem: the signal process Ξ is the solution of the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$d\Xi_t = \beta(\Xi_t)dt + \sigma(\Xi_t)dB_t, \quad \Xi_0 \sim q_0(x), \quad (1.1)$$

and the observation process is given by

$$dY_t^\varepsilon = \gamma(\Xi_t)dt + \varepsilon dV_t. \quad (1.2)$$

*Member of the Institut Universitaire de France

†Part of this work was done while visiting the LATP, University of Provence and CNRS, Marseille

Here, B, V are independent standard one dimensional Brownian motions, β, σ, γ are continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$, and $q_0(\cdot)$ is a bounded density with respect to Lebesgue's measure, satisfying the following assumptions:

- (A-1') $\beta, \gamma, \beta', \gamma'$ are Lipschitz functions.
- (A-2') $\sigma(\cdot) \geq \sigma_0 > 0$, $\gamma'(\cdot) \geq \gamma_0 > 0$.
- (A-3') $|\log q_0(x) - \log q_0(y)| \leq c(1 + |x| + |y|)|x - y|$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (A-4') $\sigma, \sigma', \sigma''$ are bounded Lipschitz functions.

The filtering problem consists of evaluating the law of Ξ_t conditioned on the observation sigma-algebra $\mathcal{Y}_t^\varepsilon = \sigma\{Y_s^\varepsilon : 0 \leq s \leq t\}$. By classical results, see e.g. [5], under the above hypotheses this conditional law possesses a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure which we denote by $q_t^\varepsilon(x)$.

In order to state our results compactly, we make the observation that if one defines $\bar{G}(x) = \int_0^x (1/\sigma)(u)du$, and $X_t = \bar{G}(\Xi_t)$, then (X_t, Y_t^ε) satisfy a pair of SDE's of the form (1.1), (1.2) with $\sigma = 1$. Moreover, assumptions (A-1')–(A-4') still apply for the coefficients of the SDE's defined by (X_t, Y_t^ε) , viz

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t &= b(X_t)dt + dB_t, & X_0 &\sim p_0(\cdot) \\ dY_t^\varepsilon &= h(X_t)dt + \varepsilon dV_t, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

satisfy the assumptions

- (A-1) b, h, b', h' are Lipschitz functions
- (A-2) $h'(\cdot) \geq h_0 > 0$
- (A-3) $|\log p_0(x) - \log p_0(y)| \leq c(1 + |x| + |y|)|x - y|$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, p_0 is uniformly bounded.

Thus, in what follows, we consider only the filtering problem (1.3) satisfying (A-1)–(A-3). For technical reasons, we need to impose the following additional restriction:

$$(A-4) \quad h'b, h'h, h'', hb \text{ are Lipschitz functions, and } \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} h''(x) = 0.$$

(A-4) implies that outside large compacts, the observation function h function is essentially linear.

Let $\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R})$, $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$, $\mathcal{F}_i$ the Borel σ -algebra on Ω_i , $i = 1, 2$, $\mathcal{F}$ the Borel σ -algebra on Ω ; let P_1, P_2 denote the Wiener measure on Ω_1, Ω_2 , and $P = P_1 \otimes P_2$. We define $B_t(\omega) = \omega_1(t)$, $V_t(\omega) = \omega_2(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$. The pair (B, V) is then distributed according to P . The solution (X, Y^ε) of the SDE (1.3) is then an $\mathcal{F}$ measurable, $C([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued, random variable.

Let $q_t^\varepsilon(\cdot)$ denote the conditional density of X_t conditioned on $\mathcal{Y}_t^\varepsilon = \sigma\{Y_s^\varepsilon, 0 \leq s \leq t\}$, which we consider as an $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable map from Ω to $M_1(\mathbb{R})$, the space of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$. Note that q_t^ε is in fact measurable with respect to the ε -dependent σ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_t^\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{F}$.

We note that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the conditional law $\mu_1^\varepsilon(dx) = q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx$ of X_1 given $\mathcal{Y}_1^\varepsilon$ converges to the Dirac measure δ_{X_1} . In particular, X_1 is measurable with respect to the limiting σ -algebra $\mathcal{Y}_1^0$, since h is one-to-one. It is known from the results of Picard [6] that the conditional law μ_1^ε has a variance of order ε , and can be well approximated by a Gaussian law, which is given by an extended Kalman filter.

Our goal in this paper is to establish a large deviations result in the following sense. Let G be a measurable subset of $\mathbb{R}$. By the above remarks, we know that on the event $\{X_1 \notin \bar{G}\}$,

$\mu_1^\varepsilon(G) \rightarrow 0$, P -almost surely. It turns out that it goes to zero at exponential speed, i.e. roughly like $\exp[-c_1(G)/\varepsilon]$. What is the value of $c_1(G) = -\lim \varepsilon \log \mu_1^\varepsilon(G)$ (if this limit exists), the “rate function”, which tells us at which speed the quantity $P(X_1 \in G | \mathcal{Y}_1^\varepsilon)$ goes to zero, whenever $X_1 \notin \overline{G}$? Clearly $c_1(G)$ must depend on X_1 (at least intuitively through its distance to $\overline{G}$), and we shall see that this is indeed the case. There is no surprise in the fact that $c_1(\cdot)$ is random, since it tells us at which exponential speed the random measures μ_1^ε converge to the random measure $\mu_1^0 = \delta_{X_1}$. Our results show that it does not depend on anything else, in the sense that conditionally on $\sigma(X_1)$, it is P -almost surely constant.

We call our result “quenched” (borrowing that terminology from the theory of random media), meaning that the randomness of the observation process is frozen. One could also discuss a “semi-quenched” large deviations statement by computing the P_1 -almost sure limit (if it exists) of

$$\varepsilon \log \int \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x + X_1) dx dP_2,$$

while an “annealed” large deviations result would describe the asymptotic behaviour of

$$\varepsilon \log E \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x + X_1) dx.$$

We hope to study these elsewhere.

Let us now state our result. Define

$$\bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) = \int_{X_1}^x (h(y) - h(X_1)) dy.$$

Our main result is the following theorem. For standard definitions concerning the LDP, see [3].

Theorem 1.1 *Assume (A-1)–(A-4). Then the family of (random) probability measures $q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx$ satisfies a quenched LDP with continuous, good rate function $\bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1)$. That is, for any measurable set $G \subset \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} - \inf_{x \in G^o} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) &\leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x) dx \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \log \int_G q_1^\varepsilon(x) dx \\ &\leq - \inf_{x \in \bar{G}} \bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1), \quad P - a.s. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

In fact, we have the estimate, valid for any fixed compact set $K_0 \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in K_0} |\varepsilon \log q_1^\varepsilon(x) + \bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1)| = 0, \quad P - a.s. \tag{1.5}$$

(It will be obvious from the proof that the fixed time 1 can be replaced by any fixed time $t \in (0, \infty)$, that is the statement of Theorem 1.4 remains true with q_t^ε and X_t replacing q_1^ε and X_1).

Remark *In the particular case $h(x) = x$, Theorem 1.1 can be deduced from the results of [9].*

We conclude this introduction with some comments about possible applications and extensions of our result. Our motivation for the study of the large deviations of the optimal filter is their need in a variety of applications such as tracking (see [8]) or the study of the filter memory length (see

[1]). In the one dimensional linear observation case studied in [9], precise pointwise estimates can be derived by comparison with the linear filtering problem, whose (Gaussian) solution is known explicitly. In contrast, here, the main tool used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the representation, due to Picard [6], of the density q_1^ε in terms of an auxiliary sub-optimal filter, and the availability of good estimates on the performance of this suboptimal filter. These results are not available in the general multi-dimensional case. When they are, e.g. in the setup discussed in [7], we believe our analysis can be carried through. Hence, while our result is presently limited to one dimension, we expect that its multidimensional extension to the case where the dimensions of the state and observation coincide, and the observation function is one-to-one, could be deduced from the results of [7]. Extension to the case where the dimension of the observation is smaller than the dimension of the state (which is the most relevant one for applications) would require completely new additional ideas, since the result would be of a completely different nature (the limiting measure is no longer necessarily a Dirac measure, and even when it is, the convergence to the Dirac measure is at different speeds for different coordinates).

Convention: Throughout the paper, when relevant, we made explicit on what parameters do constants depend, even if the actual value of the constant may change from line to line. When nothing explicit is mentioned, i.e. a generic constant C is used, it is assumed that it may depend on the trajectories $\{\tilde{X}\}$, $\{\tilde{V}\}$, but not on ε . For $t > 0$, we use the notation $\|f\|_t = \sup_{s \leq t} |f(s)|$, with $\|f\| := \|f\|_{1/\varepsilon}$. Finally, we use θ^t to denote the shift operator, e.g. $\theta^t \tilde{m}(\cdot) = \tilde{m}(t + \cdot)$.

2 Picard's formulation and a path integral

The filtering problem we are going to analyze is (1.3), and the assumptions $(A-1)$ – $(A-4)$ will be assumed to hold throughout the paper.

It is known from the results of Picard [6] that the conditional law $q_1^\varepsilon(x)dx$ has a small variance, and that there exist finite dimensional filters that provide good approximations of the unknown state. We shall now recall the formula derived by Picard [6] for $q_1^\varepsilon(x)$, which was used there to study approximate filters. It will be an essential tool for our large deviation results.

Define the approximate filter

$$dM_t^\varepsilon = b(M_t^\varepsilon)dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(dY_t^\varepsilon - h(M_t^\varepsilon)dt),$$

with $M_0^\varepsilon = 0$, and let $\bar{m}_s = M_{1-s}^\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{m}_s = \bar{m}_{\varepsilon s}$, $s \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]$.

One of the main contributions of Picard in [6, Proposition 4.2] was to express the conditional density $q_1^\varepsilon(x)$ in terms of the law of an auxiliary process $\{\bar{X}_{1-t}^x, 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$, which fluctuates backward in time, starting at time 1 from the position x , around the trajectory of the approximate filter M^ε . Performing a time change and a Girsanov transformation, Picard's result can be rewritten as follows. Define the process

$$d\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} = \left[-h(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) - \varepsilon b(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) \right] ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} d\tilde{W}_s, \quad \tilde{Z}_0^{\varepsilon,x} = x,$$

with $\tilde{W}$ a standard Brownian motion, independent of B, V . Throughout, we let $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{P}$ denote expectations and probabilities with respect to the law of the Brownian motion $\tilde{W}$. Then a version of the conditional density of X_1 given $\mathcal{Y}_1^\varepsilon$ is given by

$$q_1^\varepsilon(x) = \frac{\rho_1^\varepsilon(x)}{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) dx}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\rho_1^\varepsilon(x) := e^{-F(x, \tilde{m}_0)/\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(I_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon, x}, 0) + \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} g_1(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_s) ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} g_2(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_s) ds \right) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, m) &= \int_0^z (h(y) - h(m)) dy - mh(z) + h(m)z, \\ I_\varepsilon(z, m) &= \log p_0(z) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^z (h(y) - h(m)) dy, \\ g_1(z, m) &= -mh'(z)b(z) + mh''(z)/2 + h(z)b(z) - h'(z)/2 - \varepsilon b'(z) - h(z)b(m), \\ g_2(z, m) &= h(z)h(m) - h^2(m)/2 - mh(z)h'(z) + m^2h'(z)^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the assumptions $(A-1) - (A-4)$ ensure that, for each given m , $g_1(\cdot, m), g_2(\cdot, m)$ are Lipschitz functions with Lipschitz constant uniformly bounded for m in compacts.

Equipped with (2.2), one is tempted to apply standard tools of large deviations theory, viz. the large deviations principle for $\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}$ and Varadhan's Lemma, to the analysis of the exponential rate of decay of the $\mathbb{P}$ expectation in (2.2). This temptation is quenched when one realizes that in fact, the rate of growth of ρ_1^ε is exponential in $1/\varepsilon^2$, and it is only after normalization that one can hope to obtain the relevant $1/\varepsilon$ asymptotics. This fact, unfortunately, makes the analysis slightly more subtle. In the next section, we present several lemmas, whose proof is deferred to Section 4, and show how to deduce Theorem 1.1 from these lemmas. Before closing this section, however, we state the following easy a-priori estimates:

Lemma 2.1 $\|X\|_\infty < \infty$, P -a.s.,

$$|||\tilde{m}||| := \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, 1/\varepsilon]} |\tilde{m}_t| < \infty, \quad P - a.s.,$$

and for $T_\varepsilon = \log(1/\varepsilon)$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{s \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} |\tilde{m}_s - X_1| = 0, \quad P - a.s..$$

Further, there exists a constant C depending only on $\{X, V\}$ such that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} |\tilde{m}_s - X_1| \leq C/\sqrt{T_\varepsilon}, \quad P - a.s.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1: The statement that $\|X\|_\infty < \infty$ is part of the statement concerning existence of solutions to the SDE (1.3). Next, we prove that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \leq 1} |M_t^\varepsilon| < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, fix constants $C = C(\|X\|_\infty)$ and ε_0 such that $h(y) - h(x) + \sup_{\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0} \varepsilon b(x) < 0$ for all $x \geq C$ and $|y| \leq \|X\|_\infty$ (this is always possible because b, h are Lipschitz and $h' > h_0$). Define the stopping times $\tau_0 = 0, \theta_0 = 0$ and

$$\tau_i = \inf\{t > \theta_{i-1} : M_t^\varepsilon = C\}, \theta_i = \inf\{t > \tau_i : M_t^\varepsilon = C + 1\}.$$

By definition, $M_t^\varepsilon \leq C + 1$ for $t \in [\tau_i, \theta_i]$ while, for $t \in [\theta_i, \tau_{i+1}]$ it holds that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,

$$M_t^\varepsilon = M_{\theta_i}^\varepsilon + \int_{\theta_i}^t [b(M_s^\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(h(X_s) - h(M_s^\varepsilon))]ds + V_t - V_{\theta_i} \leq C + 1 + 2\|V\|_\infty.$$

We conclude that $\sup_{t \leq 1} M_t^\varepsilon \leq C + 1 + 2\|V\|_\infty < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. A similar argument shows that $\inf_{t \leq 1} M_t^\varepsilon \geq -(C + 1 + 2\|V\|_\infty)$.

To see the stated convergence of $\tilde{m}_s$ to X_1 , recall that X_t and V_t are almost surely Hölder(η) continuous, for all $\eta < 1/2$. Fix $t_0 = 1 - 2\varepsilon T_\varepsilon$, $t_1 = 1 - \varepsilon T_\varepsilon$, $\delta_\varepsilon = 1/\sqrt{T_\varepsilon}$, and write $Y_t = M_t^\varepsilon - X_1$. With these notations,

$$Y_t = Y_{t_0} + \int_{t_0}^t \left[b(M_s^\varepsilon) + \frac{h(X_s) - h(X_1)}{\varepsilon} \right] ds + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t_0}^t (h(X_1) - h(M_s^\varepsilon))ds + (V_t - V_{t_0}).$$

By the first part of the lemma, it holds that $|Y_{t_0}| \leq C$. We first show that for some $\tau \in (t_0, t_1)$ it holds that $|Y_\tau| \leq \delta_\varepsilon$. Indeed, assume without loss of generality that $Y_{t_0} > \delta_\varepsilon$. Then, by the Hölder property of X and V , it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in (t_0, t_1)} |V_t - V_{t_0}| \leq C(\varepsilon T_\varepsilon)^\eta, \quad \sup_{t \in (t_0, t_1)} |X_t - X_{t_0}| \leq C(\varepsilon T_\varepsilon)^\eta.$$

Hence, if a τ as defined above does not exist, then necessarily, using the Lipschitz continuity of h ,

$$-C \leq C_1 \varepsilon T_\varepsilon (1 + \frac{(\varepsilon T_\varepsilon)^\eta}{\varepsilon}) - h_0 \delta_\varepsilon T_\varepsilon + C_1 (\varepsilon T_\varepsilon)^\eta,$$

which is clearly impossible unless $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon_0$ for some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Now, for $\tau < t \leq 1$ we claim that it is impossible that $Y_t > 2\delta_\varepsilon$. Indeed, let $\theta' = \inf\{\tau < t \leq 1 : Y_t = 2\delta_\varepsilon\}$. Repeating the argument above, we now obtain that if such a θ' exists, it must hold that for some $\theta < 2\varepsilon T_\varepsilon$,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \leq C_1 \theta + C_1 \frac{\theta^{\eta+1}}{\varepsilon} + C_1 \theta^\eta - \frac{h_0 \delta_\varepsilon \theta}{\varepsilon},$$

which again is impossible, unless $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon'_0$, for some $\varepsilon'_0 > 0$. The case of $Y_t < -2\delta_\varepsilon$ for some $t > t_0$ being handled similarly, the conclusion follows. $\diamond$

3 Auxilliary Lemmas and Proof of Theorem 1.1

Set $J_\varepsilon(x) := \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) e^{F(x, \tilde{m}_0)/\varepsilon}$ and

$$\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, t) = \exp \left(\int_0^t \left(g_1(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$L_\varepsilon(x, t) = \exp \left(I_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}, 0) \right) \bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, t). \quad (3.2)$$

Although both $\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, t)$ and $L_\varepsilon(x, t)$ depend on the path $\tilde{m}$, we omit this dependence when no confusion occurs, while $L_\varepsilon(x, t, m)$ will denote the quantity $L_\varepsilon(x, t)$ with $\tilde{m}$ replaced by m , and similarly for $\bar{L}_\varepsilon$.

The following are the auxilliary lemmas alluded to above. The proof of the first, Lemma 3.1, is standard, combining large deviations estimates for solutions of SDE's (see e.g. [2, Theorem 2.13, Pg. 91]) with Varadhan's lemma (see e.g. [3, Theorem 4.3.1, Pg. 137]), and is omitted.

Lemma 3.1 (Finite horizon LDP) Fix $T < \infty$ and a compact $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}$. Define

$$I_T(x, z) := \sup_{\phi \in H^1: \phi_0=x, \phi_T=z} \int_0^T g_2(\phi_s, X_1) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left[\dot{\phi}_s + h(\phi_s) - X_1 h'(\phi_s) \right]^2 ds$$

Then, uniformly in $x, z \in K$, P -a.s.,

$$\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| < \delta\}} \right] - I_T(x, z) \right| = 0.$$

It is worthwhile noting the following simpler representation of $I_T(x, z)$:

$$I_T(x, z) = \sup_{\phi \in H^1: \phi_0=x, \phi_T=z} \left[X_1(h(z) - h(x)) - h(X_1)(z - x) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \left[\dot{\phi}_s - (h(X_1) - h(\phi_s)) \right]^2 ds \right]. \quad (3.3)$$

From this representation, the following is immediate:

$$I_T(X_1, X_1) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

and, with $V_T(x) := I_T(x, X_1)$, it holds that

$$V_T(x) \rightarrow_{T \rightarrow \infty} -X_1 h(x) + h(X_1)x \quad (3.5)$$

This, and standard large deviations considerations, give

Corollary 3.2 Uniformly in $x, z \in K$, P -a.s.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \\ & \left| \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_{T/2}^{\varepsilon, x} - X_1| < \delta\}} \right] - h(X_1)x + h(x)X_1 - I_{T/2}(X_1, z) \right| \\ & = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| < \delta\}} \right] - I_T(x, z) \right| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The key to the proof of Theorem 1.1 is a localization procedure that allows one to restrict attention to compact (in time and space) subsets. A first coarse step in that direction is provided by the next two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.3 (Coarse localization 1) For each $\eta > 0$ there exists a constant $M_1 = M_1(\|\tilde{m}\|, \eta, |X_1|)$ and $\varepsilon_{00} = \varepsilon_{00}(\|\tilde{m}\|, \eta, |X_1|)$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,

$$\int \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| > M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\}} dx \leq e^{-\eta/\varepsilon} \inf_{|x| < 1} \rho_1^\varepsilon(x), \quad P\text{-a.s.} \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.4 (Coarse localization 2) For each $\eta > 0$ and M_1, ε_{00} as in Lemma 3.3, there exist constants $M_i = M_i(\|\tilde{m}\|, \eta, |X_1|)$, $i = 2, 3$, with $M_3 \leq M_2$, and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\|\tilde{m}\|, \eta, |X_1|) < \varepsilon_{00}$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, uniformly in $|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$,

$$J_\varepsilon(x) \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right], \quad (3.7)$$

and uniformly in $|z| \leq M_3/\varepsilon$, $T < 1/\varepsilon$,

$$\mathbb{E} [L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m})] \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon, z}\|_{1/\varepsilon - T} \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] \quad (3.8)$$

The following comparison lemma is also needed:

Lemma 3.5 *There exists a function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$, depending on $\|\tilde{m}\|, |X_1|, \eta$ only, with $g(\delta) \rightarrow_{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0$, and an $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\|\tilde{m}\|, X_1, \eta) < \varepsilon_0$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, $t \in [1/2\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon]$, and $|x|, |y| \leq M_3/\varepsilon$, $|x - y| < \delta$,*

$$\varepsilon \log \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(x, t, \theta^{1/\varepsilon-t} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_t \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}})}{\mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(y, t, \theta^{1/\varepsilon-t} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, y}\|_t \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}})} \right) \leq g(\delta), \quad (3.9)$$

and there exists a constant $C_1(\|\tilde{m}\|, X_1, \eta)$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$\sup_{t \in [1/2\varepsilon, 1/\varepsilon]} \varepsilon \left| \log \left(\frac{\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, t, \theta^{1/\varepsilon-t} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_t \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right]}{\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(X_1, t, \theta^{1/\varepsilon-t} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, X_1}\|_t \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right]} \right) \right| \leq C_1(1 + |x|). \quad (3.10)$$

The last step needed in order to carry out the localization procedure is the following

Lemma 3.6 (Localization) *Fix a sequence T_ε as in lemma 2.1. Then there exist constants $C_i = C_i(\|\tilde{m}\|, M_1, M_2, M_3, X_1) > 0$, $i \geq 2$, and a constant $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(\|\tilde{m}\|, M_1, M_2, M_3, X_1) < \varepsilon_1$, such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$, $|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, $|z| \leq M_3/\varepsilon$, $\delta < 1$, and $1 \leq T \leq T_\varepsilon$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z\| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \exp \left(\frac{C_2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{C_3(|z| - |x|)_+^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{C_4(|x| + |z|)}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

and, uniformly for $|z - X_1| < 1$, $|x - X_1| < 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z\| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right] \geq \exp \left(-\frac{C_2}{\varepsilon} \right). \quad (3.12)$$

We may now proceed to the proof of Theorem 1.1, as a consequence of the above Lemmata. Fix an $\eta > 0$ as in Lemma 3.3, and for $\delta > 0$, $T > 0$ (to be chosen below, with $T < T_\varepsilon$, T_ε as in Lemma 2.1), and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_\varepsilon(x) &= \mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon, \|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}}) = \sum_{i=-M_3/\varepsilon\delta}^{M_3/\varepsilon\delta} \mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon, |\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - i\delta| \leq \delta/2\}}) \\ &=: \sum_{i=-M_3/\varepsilon\delta}^{M_3/\varepsilon\delta} \tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, i\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Markov property, one may write

$$\tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) = \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z\| \leq \delta/2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \mathbb{E} \left(L_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x}, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \mid \tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} \right) \right].$$

Applying (3.9), one concludes that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$, and $|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, $|z| \leq M_3/\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) e^{-g(\delta)/\varepsilon} &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z\| \leq \delta/2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, z}\|_{1/\varepsilon - T} \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] := \hat{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) \leq \tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) e^{g(\delta)/\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Next, using (3.10) in the first inequality and Lemma 3.6 in the second, it follows that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$, and $T \in (1, T_\varepsilon)$, T_ε as in Lemma 2.1, and some constants C_i independent of T, ε ,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| \leq \delta/2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right] \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(X_1, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, X_1}\|_{1/\varepsilon - T} \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] e^{C_1(|z|+1)/\varepsilon} \\ &\leq \exp \left(\frac{C_2}{\varepsilon} - \frac{C_3(|z| - |x|)_+^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{C_5(|x| + |z|)}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(X_1, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, X_1}\|_{1/\varepsilon - T} \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2$, and $|x - X_1| \leq 1$, $|z - X_1| \leq 1$,

$$\hat{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) \geq \exp \left(-\frac{C_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(X_1, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, X_1}\|_{1/\varepsilon - T} \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right].$$

It follows by combining the above and using the quadratic growth of $F(x, X_1)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, that there exists a compact set $\mathcal{K}_1$, depending on $\|m\|, X_1, \eta$ such that for all ε small enough, and any $T \in (0, T_\varepsilon)$,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}, |z| \leq M_3/\varepsilon} \tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) e^{-F(x, X_1)/\varepsilon} \leq e^{2g(\delta)/\varepsilon} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}_1, z \in \mathcal{K}_1} \tilde{J}_{\varepsilon, T}(x, z) e^{-F(x, X_1)/\varepsilon}. \quad (3.14)$$

With η and $\mathcal{K}_1$, as above, choose next T large enough, δ small enough (with $g(\delta) < \eta/8$) and $\varepsilon_3(\delta, T, \eta, \|m\|, X_1) < \varepsilon_2$ such that the error in the expression in Corollary 3.2 and in (3.5) are bounded above by $\eta/4$ for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_3$, uniformly in $x, z \in \mathcal{K}_1$. Using the Markov property again, Lemma 2.1 and Corollary 3.2, one then gets that for $x \in \mathcal{K}_1$, and all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \log \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) &\leq -F(x, \tilde{m}_0) + \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T/2) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{Z}_{T/2}^{\varepsilon, x} - X_1| \leq \delta/2\}}) \\ &\quad + \sup_{z \in \mathcal{K}_1} \left[\varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(\bar{L}_\varepsilon(X_1, T/2, \theta^{T/2} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{Z}_{T/2}^{\varepsilon, X_1} - z| \leq \delta/2\}}) + \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m})) \right] \\ &\leq h(X_1)x - h(x)X_1 - F(x, X_1) + \eta + \\ &\quad \sup_{z \in \mathcal{K}_1} \left[\varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(\bar{L}_\varepsilon(X_1, T/2, \theta^{T/2} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{Z}_{T/2}^{\varepsilon, X_1} - z| \leq \delta/2\}}) + \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m})) \right] \\ &\leq -\bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) + 2\eta + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_\varepsilon$ depends only on ε , and not on x . Similarly, for $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \log \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) &\geq h(X_1)x - h(x)X_1 - F(x, X_1) - \eta + \\ &\quad \sup_{z \in \mathcal{K}_1} \left[\varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(\bar{L}_\varepsilon(X_1, T/2, \theta^{T/2} \tilde{m}) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\tilde{Z}_{T/2}^{\varepsilon, X_1} - z| \leq \delta/2\}}) + \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E}(L_\varepsilon(z, 1/\varepsilon - T, \theta^T \tilde{m})) \right] \\ &\geq -\bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) - 2\eta + \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Using that $\bar{\mathcal{J}}(x, X_1) \geq 0$ with $\bar{\mathcal{J}}(X_1, X_1) = 0$, (3.14) and Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\varepsilon \log \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) dx - \mathcal{C}_\varepsilon \right] = 0.$$

Therefore, Theorem 1.1, for $K = \mathcal{K}_1$, follows by noting (2.1). For a compact set K with $\mathcal{K}_1 \subset K$, replace in the argument above $x, z \in \mathcal{K}_1$ by $x \in K, z \in \mathcal{K}_1$. $\diamond$

4 Proofs of auxilliary lemmas

Proof of Lemma 3.3 We first need an upper bound for the left hand side of (3.6). The lower bound of the right hand side will be the easy part. Define the function

$$H(x) = \int_0^x \bar{h}(y) dy, \text{ with } \bar{h}(x) := h(x) - h(0). \quad (4.1)$$

We note that

$$I_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}, 0) - \frac{F(x, \tilde{m}_0)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(H(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}) - H(x) \right) + \log p_0(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}) + \frac{\tilde{m}_0 h(x) - h(0)x}{\varepsilon}.$$

We first rewrite the $\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x}$ equation as

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x} = x + \int_0^t [-\bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) + g(s, \tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})] ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \tilde{W}_t,$$

and next deduce from Itô's formula that

$$H(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}) - H(x) = \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} [-\bar{h}^2(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) + (\bar{h}g)(s, \tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} h'(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})] ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\tilde{W}_s.$$

It now follows from (2.2) and the linear growth of $g_1(z, m)$ and $g_2(z, m)$ in z , that for some C (depending on $\tilde{m}, X$) and all $\varepsilon \leq 1$, $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) &\leq \exp \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\tilde{m}_0 h(x) - h(0)x}{\varepsilon} \right] \left(\mathbb{E} \left[p_0(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}) \right]^{\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left[\frac{1+\delta}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\tilde{W}_s - \frac{1+\delta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \bar{h}^2(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) ds + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}| ds \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\delta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now provided $\delta < 1$, $1 + \delta > \frac{(1+\delta)^2}{2}$, and then since $\bar{h}(z)^2 \geq h_0^2 z^2$, there exists $C(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1^\varepsilon(x) &\leq \exp \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\tilde{m}_0 h(x) - h(0)x}{\varepsilon} \right] \left(\mathbb{E} \left[p_0(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon,x}) \right]^{\frac{1+\delta}{\delta}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} \\ &\quad \times \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left[-\frac{C(\delta)}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}|^2 ds \right] \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}} \\ &\leq \exp \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \right] \left(\mathbb{E} \exp \left[-\frac{C(\delta)}{\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}|^2 ds \right] \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

It thus remains to estimate the last factor in the above right-hand side. Define $\tau = \inf\{t > 0 : |\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}| < x/2\}$, and fix $\eta > 0$. We claim that for some $\eta > 0$ small enough, it holds that for some $C_\eta > 0$, x_0 and all $|x| \geq x_0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau < \eta) \leq \exp \left[-\frac{C_\eta x^2}{\varepsilon} \right] \quad (4.3)$$

Assuming (4.3), note that on the event $\{\tau \geq \eta\}$ we have that $\inf_{s \in (0, \eta]} |\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}| > x/2$. By substituting in (4.2) we thus get

$$\rho_1^\varepsilon(x) \leq \exp \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \right] \times \left(\exp \left[-\frac{C_\eta x^2}{\varepsilon} \right] + \exp \left[-\frac{C(\delta)x^2\eta}{4\varepsilon} \right] \right)^{\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}}, \quad (4.4)$$

from which one concludes easily the bound

$$\rho_1^\varepsilon(x) \leq \exp \left[\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{C_1 x^2}{\varepsilon} \right]. \quad (4.5)$$

On the other hand, define the event

$$A_C = \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, 1/\varepsilon)} \sqrt{\varepsilon} |\tilde{W}_t| \leq C \right\}.$$

Then there exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ depending on C such that $\mathbb{P}(A_C) \geq C_3$. Since, on the event A_C , the integral appearing in the definition of ρ_1^ε is bounded in absolute value by C_4/ε^2 , uniformly in $|x| < 1$, we have that there exists a constant C_2 such that uniformly in $|x| < 1$,

$$\rho_1^\varepsilon(x) \geq \exp \left[-\frac{C_2}{\varepsilon^2} \right]. \quad (4.6)$$

(4.6) and (4.5) complete the proof of the lemma, once we prove (4.3).

Toward this end, assume without loss of generality that $x > 0$, and set $\hat{h} = 2 \sup_{y>0} h'(y)$. Using the Itô formula, one has

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x} e^{\hat{h}t} = x + \int_0^t \left(\hat{h} \tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x} - \bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) - h(0) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) - \varepsilon b(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) \right) e^{\hat{h}s} ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\hat{h}s} d\tilde{W}_s. \quad (4.7)$$

Hence, denoting by C_3 a bound on $|\tilde{m}_s h'(\cdot)| - h(0)$, it follows that the event $\{\tau < \eta\}$ is contained in the event

$$\left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \eta)} \left| \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\hat{h}s} d\tilde{W}_s \right| \geq x - C_3 \frac{e^{\hat{h}\eta} - 1}{\hat{h}} - \frac{x e^{\hat{h}\eta}}{2} \right\} \subset \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0, \eta)} \left| \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\hat{h}s} d\tilde{W}_s \right| \geq \frac{x}{4} \right\} =: B,$$

if one choses η small enough and x large enough. We have that

$$\mathbb{P}(B) \leq 4 \exp \left(-\frac{Cx^2}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

for some constant C , which completes the proof of (4.3). $\diamond$

Proof of Lemma 3.4: We only prove (3.7), the proof of (3.8) being similar. All we need to show is that for all $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, and some M_2 ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right]. \quad (4.8)$$

We first bound the left hand side of (4.8) for $\varepsilon \leq 1$. Recall the functions $H, \bar{h}$ introduced in (4.1), and apply Itô's formula to develop $H(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x})$ between $t = 0$ and $t = 1/\varepsilon$, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \log L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) - H(x)/\varepsilon &= -\frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |h(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}) - h(\tilde{m}_t)|^2 dt - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x})|^2 dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}) d\tilde{W}_t + \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} g_{3,\varepsilon}(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_t) dt + \log p_0(\tilde{Z}_{1/\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon, x}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g_{3,\varepsilon}(z, m) = g_1(z, m) - b(z)\bar{h}(z) + \frac{1}{2}h'(z) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}[h^2(0) - mh'(z)h(0) + m^2(h'(z))^2].$$

Note that $\log p_0(\cdot)$ is bounded above, and

$$|g_{3,\varepsilon}(z, \tilde{m}_t)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + |z| \right).$$

Now since for any $p > 1$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{p^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |\bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x})|^2 dt + \frac{p}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \bar{h}(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}) d\tilde{W}_t \right) \right] = 1,$$

it follows from Hölder's inequality, that for any $q > p > 1$ satisfying $1/p + 1/q = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-H(x)/\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] &\leq \\ &\left(\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \exp \left(C \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + |\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}|^2 \right) dt \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \times \exp \left(-\frac{q}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |h(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}) - h(\tilde{m}_t)|^2 dt + \frac{p}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{1/\varepsilon} |h(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}) - h(0)|^2 dt \right) \Bigg] \Bigg)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \exp \left(\frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \right) \right] \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $C > 0$. We prove below that provided M_2 is large enough, there exists a $c > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{c}{\varepsilon^3} \right). \tag{4.10}$$

Combined with (4.9), this implies that uniformly in $|x| \leq M_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{c}{\varepsilon^3} \right). \tag{4.11}$$

To see (4.10), let $H = \sup |h'|$, define $\theta_0 = 0$ and

$$\tau_i = \inf \{ t > \theta_{i-1} : |\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}| > \frac{M_2}{2\varepsilon} \}, \quad \theta_i = \inf \{ t > \tau_i : |\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}| < \frac{M_2}{4\varepsilon} \}.$$

Setting $f(z, m) = -h(z) + mh'(z) - \varepsilon b(z)$, we have that for $|z| \in [M_2/4\varepsilon, M_2/\varepsilon]$, $t \leq 1/\varepsilon$ and ε small enough, it holds that $h_0 M_2/8\varepsilon \leq |f(z, \tilde{m}_t)| \leq 2H M_2/\varepsilon$ and $\text{sign} f(z, \tilde{m}_t) = -\text{sign}(z)$. Then, choosing $\eta = (16H)^{-1}$, for each i it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\theta_i - \tau_i < \eta, \sup_{t \in [\tau_i, \theta_i]} |\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}| < M_2/\varepsilon \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} |W_t| \geq \frac{M_2}{4\varepsilon} - \frac{2H\eta M_2}{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} |W_t| \geq \frac{M_2}{8\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2\eta}{\varepsilon^3} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\theta_i - \tau_i \geq \eta, |\tilde{Z}_{\tau_i + \eta}^{\varepsilon, x}| \geq M_2/2\varepsilon \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} W_\eta \geq \frac{h_0 M_2 \eta}{8\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2\eta}{\varepsilon^3} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{t \in [\tau_i, (\tau_i + \eta) \wedge \theta_i]} |\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x}| > M_2/\varepsilon \right) &\leq \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} |W_t| \geq \frac{M_2}{2\varepsilon} \right) \\ &\leq \exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2\eta}{\varepsilon^3} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Hence, using (4.12), (4.13) and (4.14),

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| > M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon\eta} \left(\exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2}{\varepsilon^3\eta} \right) + \exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2}{\varepsilon^3} \right) + \exp \left(-\frac{cM_2^2\eta}{\varepsilon^3} \right) \right),$$

completing the proof of (4.11).

We now turn to the lower bound of the right hand side of (4.8). Let, with $M'_1 = M_1 + 1$,

$$\varepsilon_0 = 1 \wedge \left(\frac{M_2}{M'_1} \right)^2.$$

For $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$,

$$\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M'_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\} \subset \{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon\},$$

so that for some $c' > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right] &\geq \mathbb{E} \left[L_\varepsilon(x, 1/\varepsilon) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M'_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon}\}} \right] \\ &\geq \exp \left(-\frac{c'}{\varepsilon^{5/2}} \right) \mathbb{P} \left(\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M'_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Finally (4.8) follows from (4.11), (4.15) and the estimate

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| \leq M'_1/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \right) \geq \mathbb{P} \left(\sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\tilde{W}\| \leq C \right) \geq c'' > 0.$$

◇

Proof of Lemma 3.5: Note first that because of $(A - 4)$, there exists a constant $\kappa = \kappa(\|m\|)$ such that for all $z \notin [-\kappa, \kappa]$, all $\varepsilon < 1/\kappa$, all $|m| \leq \|m\|$, and all z' ,

$$\Delta(z, z', m) = -h(z) + h(z') + m[h'(z) - h'(z')] - \varepsilon[b(z) - b(z')]$$

satisfies $\text{sign}(\Delta(z, z', m)) = \text{sign}(z' - z)$ while $|\Delta(z, z', m)| \geq h_0|z - z'|/2$.

Assume, w.l.o.g., that $x < y$. Fix, for δ given, a smooth, even function $c(z)$ such that $c(|z|)$ is non-increasing, $c(z) = \sqrt{\delta}$ for $|z| \leq \kappa$ and $c(z) = 0$ for $|z| > 2\kappa$, with $\|c'\| \leq 10\sqrt{\delta}$. Define next the diffusions

$$\begin{aligned} d\xi_s^1 &= [-h(\xi_s^1) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\xi_s^1) - \varepsilon b(\xi_s^1) + c(\xi_s^1) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}}] ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} dB_s \quad \xi_0^1 = x, \\ d\xi_s^2 &= [-h(\xi_s^2) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\xi_s^2) - \varepsilon b(\xi_s^2)] dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon} dB_s \quad \xi_0^2 = y, \end{aligned}$$

where B is a Brownian motion independent of the process $\tilde{m}$, and $\tau = \min\{t : \xi_t^1 = \xi_t^2\} \wedge 1/\varepsilon$. Note that ξ^2 coincides in distribution with $\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, y}$, whereas the law of ξ^1 is mutually absolutely continuous with respect to the law of $\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}$ with Radon-Nykodim derivative given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau c(\xi_s^1) d\xi_s^1 - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau c^2(\xi_s^1) ds - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau c(\xi_s^1) g(s, \xi_s^1) ds \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} [\bar{c}(\xi_\tau^1) - \bar{c}(\xi_0^1)] - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau c^2(\xi_s^1) ds - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\tau c(\xi_s^1) g(s, \xi_s^1) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\tau c'(\xi_s^1) ds \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

where $g(s, z) = -h(z) + \tilde{m}_s h'(z) - \varepsilon b(z)$ and $\bar{c}(z) = \int_0^z c(y) dy$.

Next, note that with $\zeta_s = \xi_s^1 - \xi_s^2$, and using that $x < y$, it holds that $\zeta_s \leq 0$ for all s , while by definition $|\zeta_0| \leq \delta$. Hence, by the definition of $c(\cdot)$ and of κ , it holds that for all $\delta < \delta_1(\kappa, \|m\|)$,

$$d\zeta_s/ds \geq -\frac{h_0 \zeta_s}{2} + \frac{c(\xi_s^1) \mathbf{1}_{s < \tau}}{2},$$

from which one concludes that $\zeta_s \geq -\delta e^{-hs/2}$. In particular, this implies that for all such δ ,

$$\int_0^\tau c(\xi_s^1) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} ds = \int_0^\tau c(\xi_s^1) ds \leq C\delta$$

for some constant $C = C(\kappa, \|\tilde{m}\|)$. Substituting back into (4.16), one concludes the existence of a constant $C_2 = C_2(\|\tilde{m}\|)$ such that for all $\delta < \delta_1$,

$$e^{-C_2 \sqrt{\delta}/\varepsilon} \leq \Lambda \leq e^{C_2 \sqrt{\delta}/\varepsilon}. \quad (4.17)$$

Therefore, with $\mathbb{E}_B$ denoting expectation with respect to B , and using the bound on Λ in the second inequality, and the Lipschitz property of g_1, g_2 together with the exponential decay of ζ_s in

the third, that for all $t > 1/2\varepsilon$, and ommiting the dependence on $\theta^{1/\varepsilon-t}\tilde{m}$ everywhere,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}L_\varepsilon(x, t) &\leq 2\mathbb{E}L_\varepsilon(x, t)\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| < M_2/\varepsilon\}} \\
&= 2\mathbb{E}_B \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\xi^1\| < M_2/\varepsilon\}} \Lambda^{-1} \exp \left(I_\varepsilon(\xi_t^1, 0) + \int_0^t \left(g_1(\xi_s^1, \tilde{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\xi_s^1, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right) \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}_B \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\xi^2\| < (M_2+1)/\varepsilon\}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left(\exp \left(\frac{C_2\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} + I_\varepsilon(\xi_t^2 + \zeta_t, 0) + \int_0^t \left(g_1(\xi_s^2 + \zeta_s, \tilde{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\xi_s^2 + \zeta_s, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right) \right) \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}_B \left(\exp \left(\frac{C_3\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} + I_\varepsilon(\xi_t^2, 0) + \int_0^t \left(g_1(\xi_s^2, \tilde{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\xi_s^2, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right) \\
&= 2\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\frac{C_3\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} + I_\varepsilon(\tilde{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, y}, 0) + \int_0^t \left(g_1(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, y}, \tilde{m}_s) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_2(\tilde{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, y}, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right) \\
&= 2 \exp \left(\frac{C_3\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{E}L_\varepsilon(y, t) \\
&\leq 4 \exp \left(\frac{C_3\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, y}\| < M_2/\varepsilon\}} L_\varepsilon(y, t) \right), \tag{4.18}
\end{aligned}$$

yielding (3.9) for $x < y$ and $\delta < \delta_1$, with $g(\delta) = C_3\sqrt{\delta}$. Further, the same computation gives

$$4\mathbb{E} \left(L_\varepsilon(x, t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\| < M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right) \geq \exp \left(\frac{-C_3\sqrt{\delta}}{\varepsilon} \right) \mathbb{E} \left(L_\varepsilon(y, t) \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\tilde{Z}^{\varepsilon, y}\| < M_2/\varepsilon\}} \right),$$

yielding, by exchanging the roles of x and y , (3.9) for $x > y$ and $\delta < \delta_1$ with the same $g(\delta)$. Finally, for $\delta > \delta_1$, iterate this procedure to obtain (3.9) with $g(\delta) = C_3\sqrt{\delta \wedge \delta_1} \lceil \delta/\delta_1 \rceil$. Substituting $y = X_1$ gives then (3.10). $\diamond$

Proof of Lemma 3.6: Throughout the proof, we fix once and for all the sequence T_ε . All constants C_i used in the proof may depend on the choice of the sequence but not explicitly on ε .

We begin with the proof of (3.11). Using Girsanov's theorem one finds that with $\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x} = x + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\tilde{W}_t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E} \left[\bar{L}_\varepsilon(x, T) \mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \right] \tag{4.19} \\
&= \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x} - z| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon, x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T [-h(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) - \varepsilon b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x})] d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left(\frac{[h(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) - h(\tilde{m}_s)]^2}{2} + \frac{b^2(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x})\varepsilon^2}{2} + \varepsilon b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x})h(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}) - \varepsilon h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x})b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x})\tilde{m}_s \right. \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \left. - \varepsilon g_1(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, x}, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

We consider separately the different terms in (4.19). Note first that one may, exactly as in the course of the proof of Lemma 3.5, move from starting point x to starting point X_1 in the right hand side of (4.19), with the effect of picking up a term bounded by $\exp(C|x|/\varepsilon)$ and widening the

allowed region where $\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, x}$ need to be, namely for all $T_\varepsilon \geq T > 1$, the right hand side of (4.19) is bounded by

$$\exp\left(\frac{C_1 + C_2|x|}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, X_1} - z| < \delta + |x| + |X_1|\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{||\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon, X_1}||_T \leq |x| + (M_3 + 1)/\varepsilon\}} \right. \\ \left. \exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left[-h(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1}) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) - \varepsilon b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) \right] d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1} \right) \right]. \quad (4.20)$$

An integration by parts gives that

$$-\int_0^T h(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1} = -\bar{\mathcal{J}}(\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, X_1}, X_1) - h(X_1)(\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, X_1} - X_1) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T h'(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1}) dt,$$

and hence, on the event $\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, X_1} - z| < \delta + |x| + |X_1|\}$, it holds that

$$-\int_0^T h(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, X_1} \leq -C(|z| - |x| - |X_1| - \delta)_+^2 + C. \quad (4.21)$$

Similarly, with $B(z) = \int_{X_1}^z b(x) dx$,

$$\int_0^T b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1} = B(\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon, X_1}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \int_0^T b'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) ds \leq C(|z|^2 + |x|^2 + 1). \quad (4.22)$$

Finally, rewrite

$$\int_0^T \tilde{m}_s h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1} = X_1 \int_0^T h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1} + \int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}.$$

The first stochastic integral in the above expression is handled exactly as in (4.22), and substituting in (4.20) one concludes that the right hand side of (4.19) is bounded by

$$\exp\left(\frac{C + C(|x| + |z|) - C(|z| - |x|)_+^2}{\varepsilon}\right) \mathbb{E} \left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon, X_1}\right) \right] \\ \leq \exp\left(\frac{C + C(|x| + |z|) - C(|z| - |x|)_+^2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^{T_\varepsilon} C|\tilde{m}_s - X_1|^2 ds\right) \\ \leq \exp\left(\frac{C + C(|x| + |z|) - C(|z| - |x|)_+^2}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where in the last inequality we used the last part of Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof of (3.11).

The proof of (3.12) proceeds along similar lines. The starting point is the change of measure leading to (4.19). Define the function

$$\Psi_t = \begin{cases} x + 2(X_1 - x)t, & t \leq 1/2 \\ X_1, & T - 1/2 > t \geq 1/2, \\ z + 2(z - X_1)(t - T), & T \geq t \geq T - 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Let D denote the event

$$D := \left\{ \sup_{t \leq T} |\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon, x} - \Psi_t| < \sqrt{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

We will prove below that for $|x - X_1| \leq 1$, and $T < T_\varepsilon$, there exists a constant C independent of T and ε such that

$$\mathbb{P}(D) \geq e^{-\frac{C}{\varepsilon}}. \quad (4.23)$$

Assuming that (4.23) and $|z - X_1| \leq 1$ hold, we bound below the right hand side of (4.19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{|\bar{Z}_T^{\varepsilon,x} - z| < \delta\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\|\bar{Z}^{\varepsilon,x}\|_T \leq M_3/\varepsilon\}} \mathbf{1}_D \exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T [-h(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x}) + \tilde{m}_s h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) - \varepsilon b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})] d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \left(\frac{[h(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x}) - h(\tilde{m}_t)]^2}{2} + \frac{b^2(\bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x})\varepsilon^2}{2} + \varepsilon b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})h(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) - \varepsilon h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})b(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x})\tilde{m}_s \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. - \varepsilon g_1(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}, \tilde{m}_s) \right) ds \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same integration by parts as in the proof of the upper bound, one concludes that the right hand side of (4.19) is bounded below by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\mathbf{1}_D \exp \left(\frac{-C}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} \right) \right]. \quad (4.24)$$

But, since

$$\text{Var} \left(\int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} \right) \leq C\varepsilon,$$

one gets, using Chebycheff's inequality, that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} < -c \right] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{C_2 c^2}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Hence,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} < -c | D \right] \leq \frac{\exp \left(-\frac{C_2 c^2}{\varepsilon} \right)}{\mathbb{P}(D)} \leq \frac{1}{2},$$

if c is chosen large, where in the last inequality we used (4.23). In particular, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T (\tilde{m}_s - X_1) h'(\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x}) d\bar{Z}_s^{\varepsilon,x} \right) | D \right] \geq \exp \left(-\frac{C}{\varepsilon} \right),$$

for some $C > 0$. Substituting back in (4.24) the required lower bound follows.

It thus only remains to prove (4.23). This however is immediate from a martingale argument: first, perform the change of measure making $S_t := \bar{Z}_t^{\varepsilon,x} - \Psi_t$ into a Brownian motion of variance ε . Then, for $1 \leq T \leq T_\varepsilon$,

$$\mathbb{P}(D) = \mathbb{E} \left(\mathbf{1}_{\{\sup_{t \leq T} |S_t| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon}\}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \dot{\Psi}_t dS_t - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^T \dot{\Psi}_t^2 dt \right) \right).$$

Integrating by parts the stochastic integral, and using that $\dot{\Psi}(t) = 0$ for $t \in (1/2, T - 1/2)$, (4.23) follows and completes the proof of the lemma. $\diamond$

Acknowledgement We thank Ki-Jung Lee for a careful reading of a preliminary version of this paper.

References

- [1] R. Atar, Exponential stability for nonlinear filtering of diffusion processes in a noncompact domain. *Ann. Probab.* 26 (1998), pp. 1552–1574.
- [2] R. Azencott, Grande deviations et applications, VIII Summer school of probability (St. Flour), *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **774**, Springer (1980).
- [3] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni, *Large Deviations Techniques and Applications*, 2nd edition, Springer (1998).
- [4] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes*, North Holland (1981).
- [5] E. Pardoux, Filtrage non linéaire et équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques associées, in *Ecole d'été de Probabilité de St. Flour XIX*, Lect. Notes Math. 1464, (1991), pp. 67–163.
- [6] J. Picard, Nonlinear filtering of one dimensional diffusions in the case of high signal to noise ratio, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 46 (1986), pp. 1098–1125.
- [7] J. Picard, Nonlinear filtering and smoothing with high signal-to-noise ratio. *Stochastic processes in physics and engineering (Bielefeld, 1986)*, Math. Appl., 42, Reidel, Dordrecht-Boston, MA, (1988), pp. 237–251.
- [8] O. Zeitouni and M. Zakai, On the optimal tracking problem, *SIAM J. Control Opt.*, 30 (1992), pp. 426-439. Erratum, 32 (1994), pg. 1194.
- [9] O. Zeitouni, Approximate and limit results for nonlinear filters with small observation noise: the linear sensor and constant diffusion coefficient case, *IEEE Trans. Auto. Cont.*, 33 (1988), pp. 595–599.